# Terminal coalgebras

## Eugenia Cheng and Tom Leinster

University of Sheffield and University of Glasgow

June 2008

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへぐ

# Plan

( D ) ( D ) ( E ) ( E ) ( E ) ( O ) (





- 1. Introduction to terminal coalgebras
- 2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras



- 1. Introduction to terminal coalgebras
- 2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras
- 3. Trimble-like n-categories

## Plan

- 1. Introduction to terminal coalgebras
- 2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras
- 3. Trimble-like n-categories
- 4. Trimble-like  $\omega$ -categories via terminal coalgebras

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

A coalgebra for an endofunctor  $F: {\mathfrak C} \longrightarrow {\mathfrak C}$  consists of

A coalgebra for an endofunctor  $F: \mathfrak{C} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}$  consists of

• an object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ 

A coalgebra for an endofunctor  $F: {\mathfrak C} \longrightarrow {\mathfrak C}$  consists of

• an object  $A \in \mathfrak{C}$ 

• a morphism 
$$\begin{pmatrix} A \\ \downarrow \\ F \end{pmatrix}$$

A coalgebra for an endofunctor  $F: {\mathfrak C} \longrightarrow {\mathfrak C}$  consists of

• an object  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ 

• a morphism 
$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \downarrow \\ FA \end{array}$$

satisfying no axioms.

・ロト ・日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ 日 ・ うへぐ

Coalgebras for  ${\cal F}$  form a category with the obvious morphisms

Coalgebras for  ${\cal F}$  form a category with the obvious morphisms



Coalgebras for  ${\cal F}$  form a category with the obvious morphisms



so we can look for terminal coalgebras.

Example 1

## Example 1

Given a set M we have an endofunctor

## Example 1

Given a set M we have an endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

## Example 1

Given a set M we have an endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

The terminal coalgebra is given by the set  $M^{\mathbb{N}}$  of "infinite words" in M

## Example 1

Given a set M we have an endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

The terminal coalgebra is given by the set  $M^{\mathbb{N}}$  of "infinite words" in M

 $(m_1, m_2, m_3, \ldots)$ 

The structure map of this coalgebra:

The structure map of this coalgebra:



The structure map of this coalgebra:



is a canonical isomorphism.

To see that this is terminal:

To see that this is terminal:

Given any coalgebra



To see that this is terminal:

Given any coalgebra



we need to produce an infinite word in M.

screen memory

screen memory

a

screen memorya $m_1$  $a_1$ 

screen	memory
	a
$m_1$	$a_1$
$m_2$	$a_2$

screen	memory
	a
$m_1$	$a_1$
$m_2$	$a_2$
$m_3$	$a_3$

screen	memory
	a
$m_1$	$a_1$
$m_2$	$a_2$
$m_3$	$a_3$
$m_4$	$a_4$

screen	memory
	a
$m_1$	$a_1$
$m_2$	$a_2$
$m_3$	$a_3$
$m_4$	$a_4$

÷

screen	memory
	a
$m_1$	$a_1$
$m_2$	$a_2$
$m_3$	$a_3$
$m_4$	$a_4$

$$a\mapsto (m_1,m_2,m_3,m_4,\dots)$$

÷

### 2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras

2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras

### Lemma (Lambek)

2. Some theory of terminal coalgebras

## Lemma (Lambek)

If A is a terminal coalgebra for F $\downarrow f$ FA
# Lemma (Lambek)

If A is a terminal coalgebra for F $\downarrow f$ FA

then f is an isomorphism.

Theorem (Adámek)

# Theorem (Adámek)

We can construct the terminal coalgebra as the limit of the following diagram:

# Theorem (Adámek)

We can construct the terminal coalgebra as the limit of the following diagram:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 1 \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 1 \xrightarrow{F!} F 1 \xrightarrow{I} 1$$

## Theorem (Adámek)

We can construct the terminal coalgebra as the limit of the following diagram:

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 1 \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 1 \xrightarrow{F!} F 1 \xrightarrow{I} 1$$

provided there is a terminal object 1, the limit exists, F preserves it

### Example 1 revisited

Given a set M we considered the endofunctor

Set	$\xrightarrow{M\times_{}}$	$\mathbf{Set}$
A	$\mapsto$	$M \times A$

### Example 1 revisited

Given a set M we considered the endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

We can construct a terminal coalgebra as the limit of

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^{3}!} F^{3}1 \xrightarrow{F^{2}!} F^{2}1 \xrightarrow{F!} F1 \xrightarrow{!} 1$$

### Example 1 revisited

Given a set M we considered the endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

We can construct a terminal coalgebra as the limit of

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{M^3 \times !} M^3 \xrightarrow{M^2 \times !} M^2 \xrightarrow{M \times !} M \xrightarrow{!} 1$$

### Example 1 revisited

Given a set M we considered the endofunctor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Set} & \xrightarrow{M \times_{-}} & \mathbf{Set} \\ A & \mapsto & M \times A \end{array}$$

We can construct a terminal coalgebra as the limit of

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{M^3 \times !} M^3 \xrightarrow{M^2 \times !} M^2 \xrightarrow{M \times !} M \xrightarrow{!} 1$$

which does indeed give infinite words in M.

Example 2 (Simpson)

Example 2 (Simpson)

There is an endofunctor

 $\begin{array}{rccc} \mathbf{SymMonCat} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{SymMonCat} \\ \mathcal{V} & \mapsto & \mathcal{V}\text{-}\mathbf{Cat} \end{array}$ 

The terminal coalgebra is given by

The terminal coalgebra is given by the category  $\omega$ -Cat of strict  $\omega$ -categories.

The terminal coalgebra is given by the category  $\omega$ -Cat of strict  $\omega$ -categories. We note that Lambek's Lemma holds:

 $\omega$ -Cat  $\cong$  ( $\omega$ -Cat)-Cat.

### Using Adámek's construction

# Using Adámek's construction

•  $F\mathbb{1} \cong \mathbf{Set}$ 

# Using Adámek's construction

- $F\mathbb{1} \cong \mathbf{Set}$
- $F^n \mathbb{1} = n$ -Cat

# Using Adámek's construction

- $F\mathbb{1} \cong \mathbf{Set}$
- $F^n \mathbb{1} = n$ -Cat

The limit diagram

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 臣 の

# Using Adámek's construction

- $F\mathbb{1} \cong \mathbf{Set}$
- $F^n \mathbb{1} = n$ -Cat

### The limit diagram becomes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-Cat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-Cat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-Cat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

<ロト <回ト < Eト < Eト 三目 の

# Using Adámek's construction

- $F\mathbb{1} \cong \mathbf{Set}$
- $F^n \mathbb{1} = n$ -Cat

### The limit diagram becomes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-}\mathbf{Cat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-}\mathbf{Cat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-}\mathbf{Cat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

where each morphism here is truncation.

### Idea

This gives us a way of constructing infinite versions of gadgets whose finite versions we can construct simply by induction.

### Idea

This gives us a way of constructing infinite versions of gadgets whose finite versions we can construct simply by induction.

### Aim

—to apply this to Trimble's version of weak n-categories.

Problem

## Problem

• a strict  $\omega$ -category is built from its *n*-truncations, which are strict *n*-categories

### Problem

- a strict  $\omega$ -category is built from its *n*-truncations, which are strict *n*-categories
- however if we truncate a weak  $\omega$ -category we do not get a weak n-category

### Problem

- a strict ω-category is built from its n-truncations, which are strict n-categories
- however if we truncate a weak  $\omega$ -category we do not get a weak n-category

—we get something incoherent at dimension n

### Problem

- a strict  $\omega$ -category is built from its *n*-truncations, which are strict *n*-categories
- however if we truncate a weak  $\omega$ -category we do not get a weak n-category

—we get something incoherent at dimension n

So we need to build weak  $\omega$ -categories from "incoherent *n*-categories"

### Trimble's idea for weak n-categories:

Trimble's idea for weak n-categories:

• enrich in (n-1)-Cat,

Trimble's idea for weak n-categories:

• enrich in (n-1)-Cat, and

Trimble's idea for weak n-categories:

- enrich in (n-1)-Cat, and
- weaken the composition using an operad.

Trimble's idea for weak n-categories:

- enrich in (n-1)-Cat, and
- weaken the composition using an operad.

What does "weak" mean?

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 三臣 の

Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

### Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

we have

Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

we have many composites.
Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

we have many composites.

Given a diagram



Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

we have many composites.

Given a diagram

$$\cdot \underbrace{\underbrace{\psi}}_{\psi} \cdot \underbrace{\psi}_{\psi} \cdot \underbrace{\psi}$$

we have

Given a diagram

$$a_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} a_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots a_{k-1} \xrightarrow{f_k} a_k$$

we have many composites.

Given a diagram



we have very many composites.

Idea

## Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$ .

# Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$ .

A  $(\mathcal{V}, P)$ -category

## Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}$ .

A  $(\mathcal{V}, P)$ -category is defined to be a cross between

## Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}.$ 

A  $(\mathcal{V},P)\text{-}\mathrm{category}$  is defined to be a cross between

• a V-category, and

## Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}.$ 

A  $(\mathcal{V},P)\text{-}\mathrm{category}$  is defined to be a cross between

- a  $\mathcal{V}$ -category, and
- a *P*-algebra.

## Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}.$ 

A  $(\mathcal{V},P)\text{-}\mathrm{category}$  is defined to be a cross between

- a  $\mathcal{V}$ -category, and
- a *P*-algebra.

—The underlying data is a  $\mathcal{V}\text{-}\mathrm{graph}$ 

# Idea

Fix an operad P in a symmetric monoidal category  $\mathcal{V}.$ 

A  $(\mathcal{V},P)\text{-}\mathrm{category}$  is defined to be a cross between

- a  $\mathcal{V}$ -category, and
- a *P*-algebra.

—The underlying data is a  $\mathcal{V}$ -graph but composition is like a P-algebra action.

- Composition in an ordinary  $\mathcal V\text{-}\mathrm{category}\text{:}$ 

$$A(a_{k-1}, a_k) \times \cdots \times A(a_0, a_1) \longrightarrow A(a_0, a_k)$$

• Composition in an ordinary  $\mathcal{V}$ -category:

$$A(a_{k-1}, a_k) \times \cdots \times A(a_0, a_1) \longrightarrow A(a_0, a_k)$$

• *P*-algebra action:

$$P(k) \times A \times \cdots \times A \longrightarrow A$$

◆□ > ◆母 > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > 三臣 の

• Composition in an ordinary  $\mathcal{V}$ -category:

$$A(a_{k-1}, a_k) \times \cdots \times A(a_0, a_1) \longrightarrow A(a_0, a_k)$$

• *P*-algebra action:

$$P(k) \times A \times \cdots \times A \longrightarrow A$$

• Composition in a  $(\mathcal{V}, P)$ -category:  $P(k) \times A(a_{k-1}, a_k) \times \cdots \times A(a_0, a_1) \longrightarrow A(a_0, a_k)$ 

We can then build weak *n*-categories:

We can then build weak n-categories:

• 
$$0-Cat := Set$$

We can then build weak n-categories:

• 
$$0-Cat := Set$$

• 
$$(n+1)$$
-Cat :=  $(n$ -Cat,  $P_n$ )-Cat

We can then build weak n-categories:

• 
$$0-Cat := Set$$

• 
$$(n+1)$$
-Cat :=  $(n$ -Cat,  $P_n$ )-Cat

But what operads  $P_n$  are we going to use?

Trimble's method

### Trimble's method

• start with just one operad  $E \in \mathbf{Top}$ 

## Trimble's method

- start with just one operad  $E \in \mathbf{Top}$
- take each  $P_n(k)$  to be the fundamental *n*-groupoid of E(k)

### Trimble's method

- start with just one operad  $E \in \mathbf{Top}$
- take each  $P_n(k)$  to be the fundamental *n*-groupoid of E(k)

So instead of picking one operad  $P_n$  for each n, we just have to construct for each n

### $\Pi_n : \mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow n\text{-}\mathbf{Cat}$

◆ロト ◆御 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ○臣 = の

### Trimble's method

- start with just one operad  $E \in \mathbf{Top}$
- take each  $P_n(k)$  to be the fundamental *n*-groupoid of E(k)

So instead of picking one operad  $P_n$  for each n, we just have to construct for each n

## $\Pi_n : \mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow n\text{-}\mathbf{Cat}$

and this turns out to be easy by induction.

Induction step for  $\Pi$ 

### Induction step for $\Pi$

Given a finite product preserving functor

# $\Pi:\mathbf{Top}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$

# Induction step for $\Pi$

Given a finite product preserving functor  $\Pi:\mathbf{Top}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$ 

we induce a functor

 $\Pi^{+}:\mathbf{Top}\longrightarrow(\mathcal{V},\Pi E)\textbf{-}\mathbf{Cat}$ 

# Induction step for $\Pi$

Given a finite product preserving functor  $\Pi:\mathbf{Top}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}$ 

we induce a functor

$$\Pi^+: \mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow (\mathcal{V}, \Pi E) \mathbf{-Cat}$$

"do  $\Pi$  locally on the hom objects"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

### Trimble *n*-categories by induction

Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 
$$0-Cat = Set$$

Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 
$$0\text{-Cat} = \mathbf{Set}$$
  
 $\Pi_0 : \mathbf{Top} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ 

Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 0-Cat = Set  

$$\Pi_0$$
 : Top  $\longrightarrow$  Set  
 $X \mapsto$  the set of connected  
components of  $X$ 

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 三 の

### Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 0-Cat = Set  

$$\Pi_0$$
 : Top  $\longrightarrow$  Set  
 $X \mapsto$  the set of connected  
components of  $X$ 

• 
$$(n+1)$$
-Cat =  $(n$ -Cat,  $\Pi_n E)$ -Cat

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 三 の

### Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 0-Cat = Set  

$$\Pi_0 : \operatorname{Top} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Set}_X \mapsto \operatorname{the set of connected}_X$$

• 
$$(n+1)$$
-Cat =  $(n$ -Cat,  $\Pi_n E$ )-Cat  
 $\Pi_{n+1} = \Pi_n^+$ 

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ○ 臣 三 の

3. Trimble-like weak *n*-categories Incoherent Trimble *n*-categories by induction

• 0-iCat = Set  

$$\Pi_0$$
 : Top  $\longrightarrow$  Set  
 $X \mapsto$  the set of connected  
components of X

• 
$$(n+1)$$
-iCat =  $(n$ -iCat,  $\Pi_n E$ )-Cat  
 $\Pi_{n+1} = \Pi_n^+$ 

<ロト <回ト < Eト < Eト 三目 の

3. Trimble-like weak *n*-categories Incoherent Trimble *n*-categories by induction

<ロト <回ト < Eト < Eト 三目 の
・ロト 《中下 《中下 《中下 《日下

For  $\omega$ -categories we take the following limit

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2-iCat \longrightarrow 1-iCat \longrightarrow 0-iCat \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

For  $\omega$ -categories we take the following limit

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2-iCat \longrightarrow 1-iCat \longrightarrow 0-iCat \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} 1$$

where each morphism is truncation.

For  $\omega$ -categories we take the following limit

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-iCat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

where each morphism is truncation.

Finally: can we get this as

For  $\omega$ -categories we take the following limit

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-iCat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

where each morphism is truncation.

Finally: can we get this as

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

For  $\omega$ -categories we take the following limit

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-iCat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

where each morphism is truncation.

Finally: can we get this as

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^{3}!} F^{3}\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^{2}!} F^{2}\mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F^{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

$$?$$

We want an endofunctor

We want an endofunctor

 $F:(\mathcal{V},\Pi)$ 

We want an endofunctor

 $F: (\mathcal{V}, \Pi) \mapsto ((\mathcal{V}, \Pi E)\text{-}\mathbf{Cat}, \Pi^+)$ 

We want an endofunctor

$$F: (\mathcal{V}, \Pi) \mapsto \left( (\mathcal{V}, \Pi E) \text{-} \mathbf{Cat}, \Pi^+ \right)$$

so we use the obvious category with these objects.

We want an endofunctor

$$F: (\mathcal{V}, \Pi) \mapsto \left( (\mathcal{V}, \Pi E) \text{-} \mathbf{Cat}, \Pi^+ \right)$$

so we use the obvious category with these objects.

Objects are pairs  $(\mathcal{V}, \Pi)$  where

- $\mathcal{V}$  is a category with finite products
- $\Pi$  is a functor **Top**  $\longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$  preserving finite products.

Morphisms are the obvious commuting triangles.

Then the limit

Then the limit

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

#### Then the limit

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

#### becomes

Then the limit

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

becomes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2-\mathbf{iCat} \longrightarrow 1-\mathbf{iCat} \longrightarrow 0-\mathbf{iCat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

Then the limit

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{F^3!} F^3 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F^2!} F^2 \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{F!} F \mathbb{1} \xrightarrow{!} \mathbb{1}$$

becomes

$$\cdots \longrightarrow 2\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 1\text{-iCat} \longrightarrow 0\text{-iCat} \stackrel{!}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{1}$$

The terminal coalgebra is indeed the limit we were looking for.

Э

(日) (종) (종) (종)