

Cocomplete \mathcal{Q} -categories are precisely the injectives wrt. fully faithful functors

Isar Stubbe

11 June 2006

This note records a variation on a remark made by Dirk Hofmann at an informal meeting with Maria Manuel Clementino and myself, in Coimbra’s early spring of 2006. Dirk Hofmann argued that¹, in the context of [Clementino *et al.*, 2004], “a (T, \mathcal{V}) -algebra is injective with respect to embeddings in $\text{Alg}(T, \mathcal{V})$ if and only if it is complete”. By definition, an *embedding* $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ of (T, \mathcal{V}) -algebras is a homomorphism such that the unit of the induced adjoint pair of bimodules is an equality. And \mathcal{A} is a *complete* (T, \mathcal{V}) -algebra if, for every (T, \mathcal{V}) -bimodule $\phi: \mathcal{A} \dashv\vdash \mathcal{B}$, there exists a (T, \mathcal{V}) -homomorphism $f: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ whose induced left adjoint bimodule equals the extension² $\{\phi, \text{id}_{\mathcal{A}}\}$. Dirk Hofmann’s argument applies to the case $T = \text{id}$, i.e. to \mathcal{V} -categories; and the account below is then the obvious generalization to \mathcal{Q} -categories.

Although the statement below and my proofs for them are different from the ones that Dirk Hofmann gave, I do not claim any originality. Moreover I should mention that Mathieu Dupont helped writing up a part of the proof. Actually, I suppose that all this is very well known; but I couldn’t immediately find a reference.

So from now on we’re only interested in \mathcal{Q} -categories; all needed notions concerning these can be found in [Stubbe, 2005]. Moreover we say that a \mathcal{Q} -category \mathbb{C} is *injective* if for every fully faithful functor $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and any other functor $G: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, there exists a (not necessarily unique) $H: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $H \circ F \cong G$. Here is the theorem³ that we shall prove.

Theorem 0.1 *For a \mathcal{Q} -category \mathbb{C} , the following are equivalent:*

1. \mathbb{C} is cocomplete,

¹Under certain conditions on both T and \mathcal{V} ...

²... namely, conditions that guarantee the existence of a locally ordered 2-category $\text{Bim}(T, \mathcal{V})$ of bimodules between (T, \mathcal{V}) -algebras, and extensions therein.

³It is “abstract nonsense” that any retract of an injective is injective; so we could have added a line to this theorem saying that “ \mathbb{C} is a retract of an injective”. Further it is a fact that a \mathcal{Q} -category is cocomplete if and only if it is complete [Stubbe, 2005, 5.10], and so we could have added some “dual statements” to this theorem.

2. \mathbb{C} is injective,
3. $Y_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}$ has a left inverse,
4. $Y_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}$ has a left adjoint.

Proof : The equivalence (1 \Leftrightarrow 4) is in [Stubbe, 2005, 6.10], so it suffices to prove that (1 \Rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow 3 \Rightarrow 4):

Any cocomplete \mathcal{Q} -category is injective: Consider functors between \mathcal{Q} -categories $F: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ and $G: \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, with F fully faithful and \mathbb{C} cocomplete. The $\mathbb{B}(F-, -)$ -weighted colimit of G provides a functor $H: \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, and from the general rules for computing a weighted colimit [Stubbe, 2005, 5.2] we can compute – with the aid of F 's fully faithfulness in the second equality – that, for any $a \in \mathbb{A}$, $H(Fa) = \text{colim}(\mathbb{B}(F-, Fa), G) = \text{colim}(\mathbb{A}(-, a), G) \cong Ga$.

Injectivity implies that Yoneda has a left inverse: Suppose that \mathbb{C} is injective; since the Yoneda embedding is fully faithful [Stubbe, 2005, 6.3], applying the injectivity of \mathbb{C} in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{Y_{\mathbb{C}}} & \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C} \\ & \searrow 1_{\mathbb{C}} & \vdots R \\ & & \mathbb{C} \end{array}$$

gives a left inverse.

A left inverse for Yoneda is automatically its left adjoint: Suppose that

$$\mathbb{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{Y_{\mathbb{C}}} \\ \xleftarrow{R} \end{array} \mathbb{D}, \quad R \circ Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cong 1_{\mathbb{C}}$$

in $\text{Cat}(\mathcal{Q})$. By the Yoneda lemma [Stubbe, 2005, 6.3], functoriality of R and $R \circ Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cong 1_{\mathbb{C}}$, we have for any $\phi \in \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ that

$$\phi(c) = \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}c, \phi) \leq \mathbb{C}(RY_{\mathbb{C}}c, R(\phi)) = \mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}c, Y_{\mathbb{C}}R\phi) = Y_{\mathbb{C}}R\phi(c).$$

Thus $1_{\mathcal{P}\mathbb{C}} \leq Y_{\mathbb{C}} \circ R$, as wanted. □

References

- [1] [Maria Manuel Clementino, Dirk Hofmann and Walter Tholen, 2004] One setting for all: metric, topology, uniformity, approach structure, *Appl. Categ. Struct.* **12**, pp. 127–154.
- [2] [Isar Stubbe, 2005] Categorical structures enriched in a quantaloid: categories, distributors and functors, *Theory Appl. Categ.* **14**, pp. 1–45.